



A high-throughput platform to quantify bacterial defense against protist predation

Andrew M Hogan^{1,2}, Alexandra Koumoutsi³, Nassos Typas¹, Jordi van Gestel²

1. Molecular Systems Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany

- 2. Developmental Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany
- 3. Microbial Automation and Culturomics Core Facility, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany

Background

Results

Protists are major predators of bacteria and thus a selection pressure towards the evolution of defense mechanisms, many of which are known¹ (Figure 1)

- "Microbial dark matter" (unannotated genes) may play roles in natural settings, such as interactions and predation
- No quantitative high-throughput assays exist to screen large strain libraries for predation defense

Screening a large number of isolates new predation defense reveal may mechanisms that contribute to microbial ecosystem shaping



Aims

Methods

- 1. Develop a fluorescence plate-based assay to screen for bacterial defense against Dictyostelium discoideum predation
- 2. Screen a global library of Escherichia coli isolates for predation defense
- 3. Validate the assay with gain and loss of function genetic screens

Total Colony Fluorescence Measurement Validly Identifies Resistant Isolates

Example Predation Assay Plate

Hour: 8	7 94	110	116
		A ACAR AN	[2] A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	* > # > # > # > # > # > # > # > # > # >	
		• * • * · * · * · * · • * • * • * • * •	
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
<u>6</u>	<u>m</u>		\$ \$ - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5

В Predation Rates Reveal Strength of Defense



Predation Resistant Isolate: E. coli ZG-35.1 (Gut Commensal)



Figure 3. Initial hits from screening E. coli library. A) Representative images from isolate plate 5 over time. B) The most resistant isolates are consumed up to 4-fold slower than the E. coli B/r control. C) Predation defense of E. coli ZG-35.1 shown in timelapse.



2 Reporter Conjugation

3 Quantification



Different Predation Metrics Reveal the Diversity of Defense Phenotypes

A Correlation Between Metrics



B Biofilms are a Predation Defense Mechanism



Figure 4. Diverse defense strategies exist in the E. coli isolates. A) All vs all correlation suggests differences in how each metric scores defense. B) Some defense phenotypes are related to biofilm formation.

Key Outcomes

- Developed and validated a high-throughout quantitative assay to screen for bacterial isolates with defense against protist predation
- A spectrum in strength and diversity of predation defense phenotypes was observed in a global collection of 553 E. coli isolates
- This assay will be useful for any bacteria-protist pairs that can grow on an



Figure 2. Protist predation assay design and quantification. "Tetrads" with duplicates of control and test prey strains are analyzed separately. The predator and prey are robotically pinned on SM/5 agar plates. D. discoideum spreads on the agar surface and consumes fluorescently labelled bacteria, the signals of which are recorded and processed in three ways to get rates of predation.

agar surface

Outlook

- Gain- and loss-of-function genetic screens to identify predation defense genes
- Examine effect of defense genes on single cell predator-prey interactions
- Screen libraries of soil bacterial isolates for resistant isolates

Contact Information



andrew.hogan@embl.de







@MicrobeAndrew



vangestelgroup.com



We're curious to try other protist-bacteria combinations. If you have bacterial strain libraries or a model protist, and you'd to find resistance like mechanisms, reach out to us!

References

1. Jousset A. 2012. Environ. Microbiol. 14(8): fiac057. DOI: 10.1093/femsec/ fiac057

2. Schlechter et al. 2018. Front. Microbiol. 9: 3052 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03052

3. Galardini et al. 2017. eLife. 6: e31035. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.31035

Aknowledgements

Image Analysis: Christian Tischer and Arif Khan (EMBL Centre for Bio-Image Analysis) Skłodowska-Curie Actions





EMBL Heidelberg Meyerhofstraße 1 · 69117 Heidelberg · Germany T +49 6221 3870 · www.embl.org